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President Frank Scarpitti called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. A motion
to approve the minutes of November 5, 7, and 8, 1980, as corrected, was made
by Harold Pepinsky and seconded by Marc Riedel. Motion carried.

TREASURER'S REPORT (Attachment #1)

Charles McCaghy called the Board's attention to the February Cash
Summary and noted that the Society was in excellent shape and that income
had exceeded expectations. The San Francisco meeting was a successful con-
vention and the number of new members obtained through the Membership
Committee allowed the Society to go over their anticipated dues income. He
noted that the expenditures for the San Francisco meeting were over the budget
but an anticipated $5-6,000 profit is expected. He reported that there are still
some outstanding accounts from the 1979 Philadelphia meeting, and he
would drop these unless there was any objections from the Board. Harry Allen
suggested McCaghy contact the ASC legal counsel to see if a letter could be
sent to the outstanding exhibit accounts. McCaghy noted that Harve Horowitz
had requested an increase in some of the rates for the Washington, D.C.
meeting and saw no problem in the slight increases.

A royalty statement for CRIMINOLOGY from Sage Publications noted the
total royalty due ASC was $5,166.34. The royalties due ASC from Sage re-
arding the Sage Research Progress Series totals $4,708.20 for a combined
royalty income of $9,874.54. McCaghy noted that for the 1981-82 budget, a
line amount of $4,000 had been budgeted for ASC Executive Board travel.
McCaghy reported he had spoken with the chair of the Finance Committee,
C. Ronald Huff, and discussed the matter of the budgeted line item not
covering the full expenses that would be submitted by the Board members.
McCaghy moved that the 1981-82 budget item for Executive Board expenses be
changed from $4,000 to an open sum. Regarding the March 7, 1981, Executive
Board meeting, the Treasurer shall reimburse Board members for coach air fare,

1981 Annual Meeting - November 11-14 - Washington, D.C. - Capital Hilton Hotel
Theme: The Future of Criminology
single occupancy lodging rate at the host hotel for no more than two evenings, meal costs up to $25 per day for no more than a 48-hour period, and for other reasonable travel expenses including airport limousine and airport parking. Included in the budget item will be other association expenses directly related to the meeting, including lunch and coffee break costs. Seconded by Harold Pepinsky. Motion carried unanimously.

McCaghy reported that complaints had been received from ASC foreign members regarding the delay in receiving their publications. He noted that to send the publications airmail would be very expensive. Albert Reiss suggested that an airmail addition to their membership could be created and if the member wished his or her publications mailed airmail, they would pay the extra charge required. The Board requested McCaghy to look into the various mailing charges for foreign members and contact them to see if they want to pay the extra charges required.

A motion was made by Barbara Raffel Price and seconded by Albert Reiss, Jr. to accept the Treasurer's Report. Motion carried unanimously.

1981 ANNUAL MEETING REPORT (Attachment #2)

Roland Chilton recognized the work that his committee was doing and particularly the financial contributions he has received from Florida State University in terms of clerical assistance, postage, etc. He noted that the sessions are very full; almost 120 sessions are tentatively committed. Most of these will have to be confirmed (after March 15) as some people that offered to organize sessions may not follow through. He noted that the deadline for the Call for Papers was March 15, although he thought in the beginning that it would have to be extended to May 1, but that will not now be needed. There will be three plenary sessions to be used for the presentation of awards and talks by the award winners. There will be two didactic seminars; one scheduled in the methods area and one in critical criminology. It is hoped that there will be an art exhibit from a prison at the meeting.

Room rates for the Capital Hilton will be: Singles, $52; Doubles/Twins, $63; Triples, $84; Quads, $100, and suites at $175 and up. Chilton reported that he had taken last year's meeting budget and increased the expenditures by 10%; postage by 20%. With that he came up with a $10,250 budget which leaves $3,750 for the cost of the hotel and banquet. He noted that he included in his budget $500 for audio-visual equipment rental and that possibly $4,500 could be available for hotel costs if travel costs associated with the program committee can be reduced.

His committee has taken into consideration the motion made at last year's business meeting to have separate sections in the meeting rooms for smokers and non-smokers -- smokers will be to the left side of the room and non-smokers on the right, and noted that he hoped that all meetings be held in smoke-free rooms starting with this meeting. He reported he hoped to have a small copier in the Employment Exchange Room to eliminate the previous year's problems of having participants trying to remove the books from the Exchange. He noted that the Board should start planning for allowing sessions on the program to the various divisions that will be created, although no arrangements have been made at this time to do so, and would expect the divisions to work through the members of the committee. A certain number of sessions for each division's control could be set aside.

Chilton noted that he would try to develop a loose-leaf notebook and deliver to Sarah at the end of the meeting, with the incoming chairman receiving the handbook. He noted that the roles of participation would remain the same -- a limit of two presentations with one paper presentation, which is a Board policy.
Harold Pepinsky suggested that the registration fee be waived for non-ASC members presenting papers at the meeting. Chilton stated that his committee was working on a daily registration fee for the agencies in the D.C. area, and suggested that we continue to urge everyone to register for the meeting, and that if people are invited to participate that would not otherwise participate, offer them the daily registration fee.

Albert Reiss stated that it was important that all session organizers be informed that they should tell their program participants that they are expected to register for the meeting. Chilton recommended that the Society allow one day registration fees, as noted in William Minor's report, to the Washington, D.C. people that could only come for one day. Chilton reported that the committees wishing to meet could do so in the evenings. President Scarpitti reported that they had invited Attorney General William French Smith to be the banquet speaker, but he has not received a reply to date, and has additional people lined up if Smith cannot attend.

LOCAL ARRANGEMENTS COMMITTEE REPORT (Attachment #3)

President Scarpitti reported that the Local Arrangements Committee is combining a list of people working in federal, district, northern Virginia and southern Maryland criminal justice related agencies and sending invitations to them to participate in the meetings. He asked the Board to approve the one day $10 fee enrollment at the national meetings. This will allow for a sizeable contingent to come for one day -- an opportunity to be of service to the practitioners and to increase our attendance. Charles Newman suggested that tags of a different color for each day could be issued.

Albert Reiss suggested that it might be a good idea to invite four-five people from different agencies to be a panel on the future of research and funding in this area. Chilton noted that David Ward has agreed to organize a panel on this topic. President Scarpitti reported that several universities in the area such as Georgetown University and American University may host a party for the participants. William Amos stated that the Federal Bureau of Prisons has an extensive art program and suggested that the Local Arrangements Committee might want to contact Norm Carlson to see if an exhibit could be arranged at the meeting.

OCJET GRANT REPORT

Harry Allen reported that all the work has been done on the OCJET grant and that the reports are in. He reported that they are $4,000 short on cost-sharing and he is going to go through the list of attendees on a casual basis to complete the forms and then everything will be all right. He noted that flattering comments had been received on the project. All Board members have received copies of the final report.

JOINT COMMISSION ON CRIMINOLOGY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE EDUCATION AND STANDARDS REPORT

President Scarpitti reported that the first draft of the final report has been distributed to the Commission members, and he has written some comments which he will distribute at the Philadelphia Commission meeting on Tuesday, March 11 and Wednesday, March 12, at which time the Commission will go over the draft report. The report is to be completed by late summer, and there will be a national workshop in September sponsored by the Joint Commission, although an exact date or location has not yet been chosen. Members of ASC and ACJS will be invited to participate and talk about criminal justice and the report of the Commission. C. Ray Jeffery stated that he would like to see some effort of the ASC after September to publicize what has been going on and to come up
with some kind of statement included in the Sage Series in the area of criminal justice education — perhaps a discussion of the report at the ASC meeting. Scarpitti stated that they will be circulating the recommendations agreed upon and will get feedback from the Executive Board of the ASC.

COUNCIL OF CRIMINOLOGICAL SOCIETY PRESIDENTS (Attachment #4)

Barbara Price distributed a proposal to establish a Council of Criminological Society Presidents. One purpose in establishing a Council would be to provide a centralized organization accessible to government agencies and policymakers. Secondly, since the Council would represent many member organizations, its combined influence would carry considerable weight in commentary pertaining to issues under discussion at the national level and in promoting informed opinion of legislators and agency heads. The Council could also serve as a sounding board for governmental bodies. Three membership criteria would be suggested: (1) a membership (minimum number); (2) produce a research publication on a regular basis, and (3) hold an annual meeting.

Albert Reiss noted that there was a National Advisory Committee to secure nominees for the Board of Statistics. President Scarpitti suggested that further discussion be postponed until the Committee on National Policy for Justice Administration gives their report.

NATIONAL WORKSHOPS ON TEACHING CRIMINOLOGY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE CURRICULUM

Harry Allen called the Board's attention to the ASA Teaching Services Program information that had been previously distributed and suggested that the Society could provide something like this for its members. He asked the Board's permission to form an ad hoc committee to contact Russ Dynes at ASA to see what they have been doing, problems they have experienced, etc. and to come back to the November Board meeting and report on their findings. The Board felt this was a very worthwhile idea and suggested that Allen do some cost planning, budget planning, and any sessions the committee might suggest and report back to the Board in November.

PETITION FOR DIVISION ON INTERNATIONAL CRIMINOLOGY OF ASC (Attachment #5)

Joseph E. Scott distributed copies of a formal statement petitioning the ASC Board of Directors to establish a Division on International Criminology from Elmer Johnson. Scott noted that 50 signatures had been obtained for the petition and questioned the Board if the 3% or more of members in good standing, as stated in the resolution, constituted 3% of the total active and student membership and at what point in time — as of this date, or for the 1980 membership figures, and was the division required to maintain that 3% figure. Allen noted that he thought it should be 3% of the membership from the last preceding year and since they are talking about influencing policy, they should be able to vote, which means active members only. Scott stated if that was the case, the student members would not be able to set up a division. Amos stated that when the resolution was prepared, the 3% figure was for the active membership at that time and did not include the student membership. Scarpitti asked if actives and students were going to be included and at what period of time could the 3% be counted. Price suggested that it was important to include the students for their growth.

Allen moved that the delineation count for determining the 3% figure for establishing divisions within the Society be through the last day of the membership year and that it should include only active members with the right to vote.
Allen moved that the number of persons required to be signed up to form a division in the Society be 3% of the membership at the end of the membership year or 40 signatures of active members to form a division. Seconded by Albert Reiss, Jr. Motion carried unanimously.

Amos inquired if there was any discussion on how many divisions will be allowed in the Society. President Scarpitti noted that each petition for a new division must be considered by the Board and evaluated at the time it is presented.

Charles Newman stated that at one time the Society tried to organize student chapters at the various universities and to have a program section dedicated to students, per ce, would be desirable. Erickson inquired that when the Board begins approving divisions is their some implied right to program time at an annual meeting? He noted that the Board should make explicit that there is no right for program time and that the division has to work through the program chair for the time. Pepinsky moved that division sessions be arranged at the discretion of the program chair through the program chair. Seconded by Charles McCaghny. Albert Reiss noted that he would like to see some protection for the program chair of not having to say no and amend the motion to the program chair has to have the approval of the Executive Committee to add such sessions.

Harold Pepinsky moved that divisions have no vested interest or claim on any part of the annual meeting program time. They may develop sessions of common interest to the division and submit to the program chair in the regular normal manner. Seconded by Maynard Erickson. Motion carried unanimously. Reiss inquired if students could be members of the divisions and was told yes.

Amos noted that there was interest in his area for a student criminological society. The only thing available is the criminal justice honorary society out of Eastern Kentucky, and he noted that he knew very little about it and was not that interested in forming one on the campus where he was involved. He urged the Society to consider some student chapters as there is a need there and the Society could have a considerable impact. Newman noted that ASC was a large enough organization that this could be feasible. Scarpitti noted that this would be a good vehicle for the ASC Student Affairs Committee to develop a plan of this type and to bring back to the Board at the November meeting for the Board's formal consideration. Scarpitti will contact the chair of the Student Affairs Committee. Price suggested that a notice be placed in THE CRIMINOLOGIST asking members to communicate with the committee regarding this matter.

Scott reported that 47 of the 50 signatures obtained by Elmer Johnson are active voting members as of February 28, and 42 would be needed to form a division. An announcement could be placed in THE CRIMINOLOGIST asking for the members to comment in writing to the Board within the next 90 days on the establishment of a Division on International Criminology and if a 2/3 vote is received at the November Board meeting, the Division on International Criminology would be established and they would have their first meeting at the ASC annual meeting.

Scott moved that the petition for a Division of International Criminology does meet the requirements stated by the resolution for the establishment of divisions in The American Society of Criminology; seconded by Barbara Raffel Price. Motion carried unanimously. The matter will be brought up again at the November Board meeting. Assuming that the Board approves the petition in November, the division could meet officially at the November annual meeting. Rooms will need to be assigned and announced in the final program if the division meets at the annual meeting. Scott will inform Johnson of today's Board action.
A motion was made and seconded to amend the Division resolution to conform to the ASC Constitution -- "and shall either approve or reject the petition (removing two-thirds vote)". Motion carried unanimously.

ASC ARCHIVES

William Amos inquired if anything had been done about establishing an archives for the Society. At the time the matter was brought up, the Society was going to look for a university or social science library that would house the papers of the Society. Scott reported that OSU had been interested at one time, and he would check with them again and report back to the Board in November. Amos suggested that senior criminologists be contacted to see if they would be willing to donate their papers to the archives. It was suggested that an announcement be placed in THE CRIMINOLOGIST to see if past editors of the proceedings would be willing to donate their volumes to the archives, and any annual meeting programs before the Toronto meeting (1975) that would be available.

AWARDS COMMITTEE REPORT

Scott reported his committee received a tremendous response from the announcement in the newsletter and the direct mailing to the membership. The committee held a conference call on February 26 to discuss the award nominees. The committee agreed to select the top two choices and then vote on them for the committees' nominee.

Edwin Sutherland Award: Ten or 15 people were nominated for the Edwin Sutherland Award. The committee nominated Albert Reiss, Jr. to receive the Edwin Sutherland Award. A motion was made by Harold Pepinsky to accept the Committee's nomination of Albert Reiss, Jr. for the Edwin Sutherland Award; seconded by Travis Hirschi. Motion carried unanimously. Price expressed her only reservation was giving current members of the Board any awards. It should be reported to the membership that the committee came up with the selections and the names of the committee should be made available to the general membership.

August Vollmer Award: The committee nominated Peter Lejins to receive the August Vollmer Award. A motion was made by Barbara Price and seconded by Harold Pepinsky to accept the committee's nomination. Motion carried (Favor: 8; Opposed: 2). Amos noted that the August Vollmer Award was originally intended as a practitioner in the normal sense, not as a colleague in academics that couldn't get the Sutherland Award. Scott noted that there had been quite a discussion among the committee regarding the nominee, with Tom Murton, Jerry Miller and Vincent O'Leary also being given serious consideration.

Sellin-Glueck Award: The committee nominated Wilhelm Nagel, University of Leiden, The Netherlands, to receive the Sellin-Glueck Award but wanted to recommend to the Board that next year's committee consider F. H. McClintock as a serious candidate for this award. A motion was made by Marc Riedel to accept the committee's nomination of Wilhelm Nagel to receive the Sellin-Glueck Award; seconded by Harry E. Allen. Motion carried. (Favor: 11; Opposed: 0; Abstaining: 1).

Herbert Bloch Award: The committee recommended that the Herbert Bloch Award not be given this year as many of the people nominated by the membership were too closely tied to the Board, and the committee felt it was better not to compromise and give the award this year. Scott noted that he did receive a telephone call from Freda Adler informing him of Arthur Niederhoffer's death and hoped there was some way the Board and Society could recognize Niederhoffer as his name had been nominated for both the Edwin Sutherland and August Vollmer award by the membership. Adler felt that it would not be inappropriate to give him posthumously some special type of award. Scott noted this was just for discussion, and he was not making a motion to that effect. It was suggested that Niederhoffer's name could be suggested to receive a fellow award.
Following lunch a motion was introduced by Charles McCaghy to reconsider the nominee for the August Vollmer Award; seconded by Harold Pepinsky. Motion carried. (Favor: 10; Opposed: 0; Abstaining: 2)

Albert Reiss suggested Vincent O'Leary would be a very good nominee for the August Vollmer Award, and Harold Pepinsky noted that he was delighted to hear the name of Tom Murton being considered. Scott noted that Jerome Miller received a 3-2 vote on the committee's final vote and his name should be considered for the award. Scarpitti suggested that a preferential ballot be taken and eliminate one of the three names:

First vote: Miller - 1
O'Leary - 6
Murton - 4

Second vote: O'Leary - 7
Murton - 4

The August Vollmer Award winner for 1981 will be Vincent O'Leary.

FELLOWS COMMITTEE REPORT (Attachment #6)

Charles McCaghy reported on behalf of the Fellows Committee Chair, Peggy Giordano. All members of the Fellows Committee submitted nominations, but there was not a great deal of consensus. Only Travis Hirschi (3), Jack Gibbs (2), and Don Gibbons (2) received multiple nominations. Other nominations included Clarence Schrag, Malcom Klein, LaMar Empey, Harry Allen, Joe Scott, Lloyd Ohlin, Terence Thornberry, Abraham Blumberg, Edwin Schur, John P. Conrad, Norval Morris, William Nagel, Milton Rector and John Wallace. Jackson Toby also suggested that no more than three or even two fellows be nominated this year, and felt that "if we continue to nominate five persons per year, it will not be long before being named a fellow will not be a great honor."

Joseph Scott moved that the Board accept the names of Jack Gibbs and Don Gibbons to receive a Fellow Award.

Standards suggested for fellow status:

1) Membership in good standing and major contributions in criminology
2) Active in the Society
3) Some scholarship.

These standards are instrumental only today and each Board will decide on their own in the future.

Voting on Jack Gibbs (Favor: 8; Opposed: 0; Abstaining: 3)
Voting on Don Gibbons (Favor: 10; Opposed: 0; Abstaining: 3)

Barbara Price nominated Sue Titus Reid for a fellow award; Joseph E. Scott nominated Arthur Niederhoffer, and Harold Pepinsky nominated Abraham Blumberg. A motion was made by Joseph Scott that only three recipients this year receive the Fellow Award; seconded by Travis Hirschi. Motion carried (Favor: 9; Opposed: 2; Abstaining: 0).

First vote: Sue Titus Reid - 5
Arthur Niederhoffer - 5
Abraham Blumberg - 1

Second vote: Sue Titus Reid - 5
Arthur Niederhoffer - 6

The 1981 Fellows are: Jack Gibbs, Don Gibbons, and Arthur Niederhoffer.

In the event that Jack Gibbs is not a member of the Society, a motion was made by Barbara Price and seconded by Marguerite Warren to substitute Sue Titus Reid's name in place of Gibbs. Motion carried (Favor: 7; Opposed: 3).
ETHICAL ISSUES IN CRIMINOLOGY RESEARCH

President Scarpitti noted that nothing has been done on this committee so far and suggested a new committee with a new charge for the next president be considered to go on with the matter of ethical issues. If Flynn steps down as chair of the committee, Scarpitti noted that he would appoint Carl Klockars to be chair of the committee.

INTERORGANIZATIONAL RELATIONSHIPS COMMITTEE

In a report distributed by the chair, Clifton D. Bryant, who was not at the meeting, the Committee reported they met in San Francisco and as their first step stated they would assemble a listing of various professional associations that share with the ASC, an interest in the study of crime, criminal justice, and would attempt to also include in the listing any journals, periodicals, or programs that the association might sponsor. Once this is accomplished, the committee will communicate with the associations and hopefully have an appropriate contact or liaison person or committee identified. The main goal is that the ASC and its membership be able to stay apprised or relevant happenings in other professional societies, and that other societies be privy to similar activities in the ASC. The committee will make a detailed report of its activities at the annual meeting.

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE REPORT (Attachment #7)

Chris Eskridge, in his membership committee report, noted that 344 new members were recruited into the Society during the 1980 calendar year. Of those 344, 220 were actives and 124 were student members. A total of 401 new members were recruited during the 1980-81 membership year. Of these 401, 269 were actives and 132 were student members. As of February 28, 1981, there were 1,836 members in good standing in the Society.

To further advance the standing of the Society, the Membership Committee is in the process of adjusting its recruitment strategy. In the past, membership efforts have been individually oriented. The new strategy is institution oriented, and membership information will be sent to chairpersons of criminology-criminal justice departments, along with a cover letter, inviting them to join the Society as individual members, to consider an institutional membership, and asking them to share ASC brochures with members of their faculty and interested students.

It was suggested by the Board members than an effort be made on the meeting registration materials to non-members the advantages of becoming a member of ASC. The committee is also considering and asking for guidance on ways in finding out why former members are not rejoining the Society. It was suggested that a survey could be done of former members.

NATIONAL POLICY FOR JUSTICE ADMINISTRATION

President Scarpitti informed the Board that a new committee, National Policy for Justice Administration, had been formed and he has asked Albert Reiss, Jr. to chair the committee consisting of Frank Scarpitti, Harry Allen, Lloyd Ohlin and Richard Groskin. Reiss reported that a number of things have happened and that President Scarpitti sent a letter to Ed Meese on behalf of the committee offering assistance in identifying relevant policy issues and in identifying key persons in the field for appointments. Groskin suggested that similar letters be sent to Attorney General Smith, assistant to Chief Justice Burger, etc. Reiss will spend some time next week with Senator Weicker's staff committee on appropriations. He suggested we should follow up with letters
to the Attorney General. Letters should also be sent to Assistant Attorney General Juliani when he takes over. Another big issue for the Committee, Reiss reported, was the Advisory Committee to the Bureau of Justice Statistics and National Institute of Justice. They are to nominate three people as directors of those institutions. The chairman of the Bureau of Justice Statistics (Attorney General of Kansas) has sent letters to ASA, etc. asking for nominations but not to this Society. Reiss intends to write him and hope that he would send a letter to the president of ASC asking for nominations. A letter should also be written to the National Institute of Justice regarding six appointments made by the President in juvenile justice. Another role the Society might play is doing this in cooperation with other societies. All members should be writing their representatives particularly those on the Appropriations Committee, House subcommittees. Reiss indicated we could have more success if we make concrete suggestions. Scarpitti noted that this was an intent to cast a new role for the ASC. We are not going to make statements on substantive policies, although we do not know what kind of substantive policies will be introduced in the future.

Newman stated he would strongly urge that a committee look at using past presidents to utilize their knowledge for continuity of the ASC. Reiss stated getting an infrastructure having various people speak on the issues needs to be done. He asked for some guidance from the Executive Committee on this issue.

Amos stated the Society should have a voice in recommending people for federal positions. We are overlooking how we may feel about Ed Meece in inviting him to participate in our program in D.C. He is the last man that will handle the name before it goes to the President. Reiss stated that any means that the Board could agree on would be very useful to his committee, but thought it would be better for the names to come from the Society although personal contacts at the White House or talking to senators would be of considerable importance.

Scarpitti noted that the committee would come up with suggestions based on the recommendation of others: the responsibility will be that of the committee and that he would rely on the committee. Committee responsibility will be to put together three names and we need to act swiftly on the BJS. Pepinsky asked that President Scarpitti send copies of his letter to each Board member and past presidents of all letters of recommendation.

PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE REPORT

Travis Hirschi noted there were several items to consider under the Publications Committee report:

1) Letter from Phyllis Jo Baunach regarding series editors for the Sage Research Progress Series. This has been a problem in the past, and he noted he would take her suggestions and recommendations that the series editor have complete and full discretion in the selection of volume editors. Previously, Inciardi always had complete say on selecting volume editors. Scarpitti noted that although it is not a policy, the members of the program committee have had first option to decline the role of editor. Scott noted that the Program Chair in the past had also been entitled to edit a volume.

Travis Hirschi moved that the editor of the Sage Research Progress Series have complete and sole discretion in choice of volume editors. Seconded by Austin Turk. Motion carried. (Favor: 10; Opposed: 0; Abstaining: 1).

Price noted that the Society needs to come up with a policy and place it in the newsletter that these are the procedures for selecting editors. It should also go out with the call for papers in the future. Motion made by Travis Hirschi and seconded by Maynard Erickson that this policy be published in the newsletter prior to the meeting. Motion carried unanimously.
Newman reminded the Board that volume editors do not receive royalties for their effort. Scarpetti inquired if the Board wanted to give any guidelines to Gottfredson in selecting editors? Hirschi noted that it was a thankless job and if he had no control of the editors, it is a meaningless job. Scott noted that there have been some people editing volumes three years in a row and also inquired if there should be guidelines for selecting editors. Hirschi stated that with Gottfredson as the series editor, he did not feel that this would happen in the future.

2) ASC Joint Newsletter with ASC: Hirschi noted that an inquiry had been received from Bob Culbertson at ACJS to see if ASC would be interested in sponsoring a joint newsletter with ACJS. Hirschi moved that the Board defer the question of a joint newsletter until the November meeting on the grounds that we need to work out our own problems in the newsletter; seconded by Harry E. Allen. After considerable discussion, the motion was withdrawn by Hirschi.

Erickson moved that we contact ACJS and inform them that ASC is not interested in doing a joint newsletter with ACJS. It is a disservice to both organizations to mix activities. Seconded by Harry E. Allen. Motion carried unanimously. ACJS will be informed that the Society appreciated the chance to consider the suggestion.

3) Changing of Printers and Editorship of THE CRIMINOLOGIST: Travis Hirschi asked Sarah to report on the printers contacted for the newsletter. The bid from Hayner Graphics of Westerville, Ohio, was the lowest, and the Board moved acceptance of that bid and moving the printing of the newsletter to Columbus for distribution from the ASC office. Motion carried unanimously. The Board authorized Sarah to explore the possibility of a long-term contract (2 or 3 years) with Hayner Graphics.

Hirschi requested the Board to authorize him to contact Al Cohn to ask him to stay on as editor of the newsletter until a replacement is found. The Board could consider a recommendation from the Publications Committee and select a new editor of the newsletter which could take over on January 1982. The Publications Committee will make the decision on the new format, if desired, for the newsletter.

ASC GENE CARTE STUDENT PAPER COMPETITION

The Call for Papers has been distributed with the deadline date of June 1. Winners will be notified in writing by September 1. Charles Newman will contact Ken Scott at MacMillan Publishing Co. and Frank Scarpetti will contact Holt, Rinehart and Winston to see if they would be willing to sponsor this year's competition.

NEW BUSINESS

Scott reported that he and Sarah had contacted some computer companies regarding a computer/word processor for the Society and would recommend that if the Society is going to purchase a computer that it go with a major manufacturer, rather than a small company. He reported that Digital had reduced its prices by 50% and a computer with word processor could be installed for approximately $9,000. He noted he was not recommending Digital, but going with a large producer vs micros. The maintenance runs approximately $118/mo. or $1400/yr and he recommended highly that the maintenance contract be purchased for at least the first year.

A motion was made by Harry E. Allen and seconded by Albert Reiss, Jr. that the Board authorize the Treasurer and Secretary to buy a computer and send to the Executive Committee for approval in the interim if necessary. Motion carried unanimously.
President Scarpitti reported that although the Local Arrangements Committee will identify some less expensive hotels in the D.C. area or accessible to the Hilton, they do not plan to advertise them, for fear of undercutting the conference hotel. It was agreed by the Board that a list should be prepared and placed in the mailings to the membership, but stated in a way that it would not undercut the rooms committed to the Hilton. Albert Reiss volunteered to help Sarah prepare a hotel list for the area.

William Amos noted that a number of people in the profession in recent years have retired and a Society such as the ASC should have some way of acknowledging people in retirement. At the end of their career, they may have spent 40 years teaching criminology/criminal justice and never held an office in this association. The Society should acknowledge this in some way to someone. Pepinsky suggested that a session or two of retired members presenting their work be held at the annual meeting. Scarpitti suggested that Amos write down and send the suggestion to the Awards Committee for their consideration and bring a recommendation back to the Board and put into context of other awards.

Roland Chilton brought up the registration fees for the 1981 meeting and stated he would prefer to go with the same fee structure, with the exception of one day registration fees, that we have had in the past. Agreed by the Board.

Harry E. Allen asked the Board's approval of the 1982 Program Chair for the Toronto Meeting. He offered the name of Israel Barak of Wayne State University, Detroit as the 1982 Program Chair. Seconded by Barbara Raffel Price. Austin Turk suggested some of his colleagues in Canada and particularly the Toronto area who are members of the association, that Allen might consider as a co-chair candidate; namely, Richard Erickson of the University of Toronto, or try to incorporate some of the Canadians into the program. Allen stated that at the present time he was considering the theme of "Crime in the Americas" and had identified some people for the committee from Mexico, Puerto Rico, Canada, and Venezuela. As is the normal policy of the Society, Allen requested that $2,000 be allocated for the 1982 convention travel. Moved by Harold Pepinsky and seconded by Maynard Erickson. Motion carried unanimously. Allen noted that the meeting will be held at the Toronto Sheraton Centre, November 4-7. Allen also requested as incoming president, if he could be authorized to appoint a Nominations Committee to develop a slate of nominees to be presented at the second Board meeting of the Society in November. He indicated his choices as a past president, William E. Amos as a member of the committee, along with Bob Meier, Margaret Zahn, Gennaro Vito and Nanci Koser Wilson.

A motion was made by Barbara Raffel Price and seconded by Austin Turk to change Sarah's title from administrative assistant to administrator, beginning with the next presidential year. Motion carried unanimously.

Price also expressed the Board's appreciation to Kit and Bill Amos for their excellent hospitality to the Board on Friday evening.

Allen stated that although San Diego had been selected as the 1985 annual meeting site, there may be some difficulty in obtaining a facility that will give the Society good rates for the meeting and that the Board may want to reconsider the 1985 site. Allen reported he will come back to the November Board meeting with further details, but wanted to alert the Board to this possibility, if the committee has to come to the Board with new recommendations for a site. Allen reported he is negotiating with hotels for the 1984 Cincinnati meeting, and that a hotel not in the downtown area had expressed great interest in having the meeting.

President Scarpitti noted that the next Executive Board meeting will be November 10 at 4:00 p.m., Capital Hilton Hotel, Washington, D.C. There will be a party for the Board following the dinner meeting. There being no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH E. SCOTT, Executive Secretary
### THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY
### CASH SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>JANUARY 1981</th>
<th>YEAR-TO-DATE 3/1/80-1/31/81</th>
<th>1980-81 BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INCOME:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and Marketing</td>
<td>$1,778.75</td>
<td>$5,829.65</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising/Membership Directory</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention - 1979 Philadelphia</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>733.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 1980 San Francisco</td>
<td>238.69</td>
<td>22,639.44</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINOLOGY Submission Fees</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1,150.00</td>
<td>1,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE CRIMINOLOGIST Newsletter Subscriptions</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dues - Active and Student</td>
<td>1,840.00</td>
<td>33,668.94</td>
<td>35,575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Three Year</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1,540.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Life</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1,250.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>236.33</td>
<td>2,314.69</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Directory Sales</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>57.50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership List Sales</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>630.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCJET Grant</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2,792.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties - CRIMINOLOGY</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>456.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Monographs</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>39.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage Income</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$4,123.77</td>
<td>$73,110.62</td>
<td>$60,875.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                               |              |                             |                |
| **EXPENDITURES:**             |              |                             |                |
| Admin. Asst. - Salary         | $1,430.00    | $15,730.00                  | $18,900.00     |
|   - Taxes                     | 95.10        | 971.70                      |                |
|   - Insurance                 | 179.28       | 574.62                      |                |
|   - Travel                    | ---          | 121.18                      |                |
| Advertising and Marketing     | 245.00       | 295.28                      | 950.00         |
| Awards and Trophies           | ---          | 473.79                      | 900.00         |
| Convention - 1979 Philadelphia | ---          | 24.97                       |                |
| - 1980 San Francisco          | 2,010.35     | 15,747.39                   | 11,385.00      |
| - 1981 Washington, D.C.       | 95.86        | 384.00                      | 2,000.00       |
| CRIMINOLOGY JOURNAL           | ---          | 2,132.63                    | 1,500.00       |
| THE CRIMINOLOGIST Newsletter  | ---          | 3,860.13                    | 4,000.00       |
| Dues Refunds                  | ---          | 105.00                      | 100.00         |
| Executive Board Expenses      | ---          | 3,611.50                    | 4,000.00       |
| International Society for Criminology | --- | 70.00                      | 150.00         |
| Membership Drive              | ---          | 515.00                      | 700.00         |
| OCJET Grant                   | ---          | 1,967.15                    |                |
| Office Expenses               | 438.01       | 4,048.06                    | 6,500.00       |
| Postage                       | 75.00        | 1,341.31                    | 3,500.00       |
| Telephone                     | 432.47       | 675.04                      | 2,500.00       |
| Miscellaneous Expenses        | ---          | 10.72                       |                |
| CRIMINOLOGY Submission Fees   | 500.00       |                             |                |
| **TOTAL**                     | $5,501.07    | $53,359.47                  | $60,085.00     |
## CASH SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>February 1981</th>
<th>Year-to-Date 3/1/80-2/28/81</th>
<th>1980-81 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INCOME:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and Marketing</td>
<td>$1,113.75</td>
<td>$6,943.40</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising/Membership Directory</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention - 1979 Philadelphia</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>733.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 1980 San Francisco</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>22,719.44</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINOLOGY Submission Fees</td>
<td>230.00</td>
<td>1,380.00</td>
<td>1,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE CRIMINOLOGIST Newsletter Subscriptions</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dues - Active and Student</td>
<td>585.24</td>
<td>34,254.18</td>
<td>35,575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Three Year</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1,540.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Life</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1,250.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2,314.69</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Directory Sales</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>57.50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership List Sales</td>
<td>225.00</td>
<td>855.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCJET Grant</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2,792.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties - CRIMINOLOGY</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Monographs</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>456.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage Income</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>39.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$2,233.99</td>
<td>$75,344.61</td>
<td>$60,875.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## EXPENDITURES:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admin. Asst. - Salary</td>
<td>$1,430.00</td>
<td>$17,160.00</td>
<td>$18,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Taxes</td>
<td>95.10</td>
<td>1,066.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Insurance</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>574.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Travel</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>121.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and Marketing</td>
<td>1,726.09</td>
<td>2,021.37</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards and Trophies</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>473.79</td>
<td>900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention - 1979 Philadelphia</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>24.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 1980 San Francisco</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>15,749.89</td>
<td>11,385.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 1981 Washington, D.C.</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>509.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINOLOGY JOURNAL</td>
<td>816.82</td>
<td>4,676.95</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE CRIMINOLOGIST Newsletter</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>473.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dues Refunds</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>111.50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Board Expenses</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3,611.50</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Society for Criminology</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership Drive</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>515.00</td>
<td>700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCJET Grant</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1,967.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expenses</td>
<td>117.55</td>
<td>4,165.61</td>
<td>6,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>417.28</td>
<td>1,758.59</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>675.04</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Expenses</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIMINOLOGY Submission Fees</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$4,736.84</td>
<td>$58,096.31</td>
<td>$60,085.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1980 ANNUAL MEETING FINANCIAL STATEMENT

INCOME:

Registration Income ........................................... $23,415.00
Paper Sales Income ........................................... 146.00
Women's Luncheon Income .................................... 415.80
Banquet Ticket Sales Income .................................. 235.50
Alcatraz Tours Income ......................................... 200.00

Total Income .................................................. $24,412.30

EXPENSES:

Travel ............................................................ $1,784.59
Telephone ....................................................... 627.26
Printing/Xerography ........................................... 2,921.17
Postage ......................................................... 882.09
Sheraton Palace Hotel ......................................... 9,848.13
Temporary Help (students) .................................... 90.00
Secretarial (Univ. of So. California) ....................... 716.52
Supplies (Univ. of So. California) ......................... 149.62
Red & White Fleet (Alcatraz Tours) ......................... 175.00
Carolyn McCall (van rental for Centerforce) ............... 48.00
Kriedt Convention Services (booth decorating) .......... 375.00
Registration Refunds ......................................... 232.50
Portfolios/badge casings/signs ............................... 685.39
Equipment Rental ............................................... 460.39

Total Expenses ................................................ $18,995.66

Anticipated Profit: $5,416.64

1980 Annual Meeting Exhibit Income:

Full-Table Exhibits @ $250.00 ea. ......................... $1,750.00
Single Table Exhibits @ $160.00 ea. ....................... 1,380.00
Literature Display @ $25.00 ................................. 150.00
ASC In-House Book/Journal Exhibit ....................... 1,736.00
Final Program Advertising .................................. 1,101.75
Film Screening @ $75.00 ea. ............................... 150.00
Book Sales at Meeting ($487.50) ......................... 487.50
Horowitz received half; ASC half ......................... $6,780.25
ATEMENT OF ROYALTIES
The Publishers of Professional Social Science

DATE: February 28, 1981
JOURNAL: CRIMINOLOGY
EDITOR: James A. Inciardi
Association: The American Society of Criminology

Period Covered 1980

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Subscription Income</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Accrued Royalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAGE INC:</td>
<td>32,329.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE LTD:</td>
<td>7,447.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTHER: Back issues sales

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inc.</td>
<td>994.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ltd</td>
<td>549.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permissions</td>
<td>761.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42,082.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25,000.00 @ 10% 2500.00
25,001 - 40,000 @ 15% 2249.85
2,082.47 @ 20% 416.49

TOTAL ROYALTY: 5166.34
(Thru period ending: 12/31/80)

NOTES: (1) Settlement of accounts to be made by 4/30/81.

CIRCULATION REPORT AS OF 12/31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total File</th>
<th>Inactive</th>
<th>Comps</th>
<th>Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAGE INC:</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE LTD:</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS:</strong></td>
<td><strong>1638</strong></td>
<td><strong>456</strong></td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
<td><strong>1154</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus Member Actives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2635</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To: ASC EXECUTIVE BOARD

From: Charles H. McCaghy, Treasurer

Date: March 7, 1981

Re: 1981-82 Budget Motion

I offer the following motion for the Board's consideration:

"The 1981-82 budget item for Executive Board expenses be changed from $4,000 to an open sum. Regarding the March 7, 1981, Executive Board meeting, the Treasurer shall reimburse Board members for coach air fare, single occupancy lodging rate at the host hotel for no more than two evenings, meal costs up to $25 per day for no more than a 48-hour period, and for other reasonable travel expenses including airport limousine and airport parking. Included in the budget item will be other association expenses directly related to the meeting including lunch and coffee break costs."

1981 Annual Meeting - November 11-14 - Washington, D.C. - Capital Hilton Hotel
Theme: The Future of Criminology
Tentative ASC Program Budget, 1981

Roland Chilton, March 1981

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing/Xeroxing</td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Help</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Rental</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolios/Badges</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio-visual Equipment Rental</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,250</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining for Hotel Costs</td>
<td>3,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed ASC Budget for Convention</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Everything except postage is estimated to cost 10 percent more than last year's expenditures. Postage is estimated to rise 20 percent with an impending increase in postal rates. (If travel, temporary help and miscellaneous expenditures can be kept down, there may be $4500 for banquet and hotel costs.)
February 17, 1981

Professor Frank R. Scarpitti, President  
American Society of Criminology  
Department of Sociology  
University of Delaware  
Newark, Delaware 19711

Professor Roland Chilton, Program Chair  
American Society of Criminology  
School of Criminology  
Florida State University  
Tallahassee, Florida 32306

Dear Frank and Roland:

The Local Arrangements Committee (Alvin Cohn, Louise Shelley, and I) met February 9th to continue our planning for the 1981 ASC meetings in Washington. Since some of the issues we discussed dealt with the program, Susan Martin, a local member of the Program Committee, also joined us. This letter is to bring you up to date on our plans and activities to date. For convenience, I am also sending a copy to Sarah Hall for distribution to the Executive Board prior to its March 7th meeting. Following are the topics we have considered:

Mailing lists. We have each taken responsibility for developing part of the mailing list of local criminal justice personnel, with those lists due in to me by March 15. We'll then check for omissions or oversights, and try to get a (nearly) complete list to Sarah by early April. The list will include some individuals (key staff in funding agencies and congressional committees, for example), but the bulk of it will be a list of agencies with the name of one person (for further distribution) and the numbers of people in that agency whom we want to reach. This may be slightly less effective than individual mailings to every policeman and probation officer, but it seems a good economy (of postage, and of Sarah's time and effort) to us. We already know of 93 agencies in Maryland alone, so you can see the reasons for indirect contact. We recommend two mailings to these people: the first, probably in May, would advise them of the meetings and give them the opportunity to join the Society. The second, around September, would include a copy of the preliminary program (and, if approved by the Board, advise them of the availability of a reduced daily registration rate).

Reduced daily registration fee. We recommend a reduced daily registration fee, perhaps $10, for local criminal justice personnel who want to attend only part of the program. Alvin Cohn reports that when this was done
in Philadelphia two years ago, approximately 60 partial registrations were obtained, very few of which would have been likely to attend and pay the full registration fee. In any event, we'll need a decision on this from the Board.

Tours. We've considered two types of tours, social and professional, and decided against both. Social tours detract from the purpose of the meetings, and Washington is a city which is easy to explore individually or in small groups. We will provide maps, restaurant guides, and so on, and let people head out on their own. If some group of people wants to arrange a tour, there is a convention service located in the conference hotel.

With regard to professional tours of local facilities or programs, we immediately noticed that some of the more interesting ones (Patuxent Institution, Montgomery County Pre-release Center, Anne Arundel Community Arbitration Project) entailed considerable transportation difficulty, and that any such tours would detract from the program itself. We therefore will take two alternate approaches toward acquainting the membership with local resources. First, we will coordinate a referral service, similar to that which Marilyn Slivka coordinated in Philadelphia, for members who wish to visit or otherwise contact specific types of programs. Second, we recommend that the Program Committee incorporate local resources into the program as much as possible. Panels such as these would serve that purpose: Local "Exemplary Projects" (there are several), Grantsmanship and Funding Agencies' Policies (Dick Groskin and I ran rather successful panels in these areas in Philadelphia), the Political Future of Crime and Crime Control Legislation (hopefully involving key congressional staff).

Parties. Since the banquet is on Thursday this year, we have Wednesday and Friday available for other parties. For one night (probably Wednesday), we are working on a wine and cheese party to be sponsored by the area universities. Dick Myren has agreed to make the initial contacts for us with these schools. We hope to be able to use George Washington University's Marvin Center, which is just a few blocks from the hotel. For Friday evening (perhaps), we would like to encourage the appropriate embassy to honor the recipient of the Sellin-Gleuck award by hosting a party. The President or another senior member of the Society should make the initial contact, but we'll be happy to work with the embassy staff on the details. We understand that the Sellin-Gleuck winner will be known by the time of the March board meeting, which should provide enough lead time for this.

Housing. To partially offset the high cost of housing in this area, we will offer a roommate service. I will organize it, and Sarah Hall has graciously agreed to handle the actual matching. The Local Arrangements Committee will also identify some less expensive hotels which are nearby or accessible
to the Hilton, for those who seek our recommendations. We do not plan to advertise these, however, for fear of undercutting the conference hotel.

Art Exhibit. The National Center on Institutions and Alternatives has set up an art gallery for prison and prisoner art in Alexandria. I have contacted Marguerite Lopes, of their staff, to explore the possibility of their sponsoring an exhibit which would coincide with our meetings.

Restaurant and Night Life Guide. We will get some preprinted guides and maps from the Convention Bureau, Washingtonian Magazine, or other sources, and supplement these with a mimeographed sheet of our personal recommendations. We'll be doing this in the late summer or early fall.

Audio-Visual Equipment. We'll check on the price structure for a couple of local outfits, but will probably use the one recommended by the hotel. I understand that the Board has decided that the Society, rather than individual presenters, will pay this cost. (If not, that's another issue to be settled.) Program participants should be instructed to advise us in advance of their AV needs, so we can coordinate them. This should be done through a single source, probably Sarah.

If there are any other issues we've overlooked, or if you have any recommendations, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Bill

W. William Minor
Chair, 1981 Local Arrangements

WWM:rc

cc: Sarah Hall
    Alvin Cohn
    Louise Shelley
    Susan Martin
February 4, 1981

TO: The Executive Board of ASC

RE: Proposal to Establish a Council of Criminological Society Presidents

FROM: Barbara Raffel Price

Rationale

One purpose in establishing a Council of Criminological Society Presidents would be to provide a centralized organization accessible to government agencies and policy-makers. Secondly, since the Council would represent many member organizations its combined influence would carry considerable weight in commentary pertaining to issues under discussion at the national level and in promoting informed opinion of legislators and agency heads. The Council could also serve as a sounding board for governmental bodies.

Memberships

In order that the Council (and its member Presidents) can be represented as having a constituency devoted to research, evaluation and dissemination three membership criteria are suggested. Participating organizations must have: (1) a membership (minimum number?); (2) produce a research publication on a regular basis; and (3) hold an annual meeting.

Two volumes are available and appear useful for identifying potential member organizations. One is the Encyclopedia of Associations Vol. 1. 15 Edition (Ed.) D. Akey and the second is the Directory of Criminal Justice Information (May '78) NCJRS, Third Edition. The
latter has 149 listings, many of which do not meet the suggested criteria for CCSP membership. For example, the ASQDE (American Society of Questioned Documents Examiners) has no publication. Moreover, several organizations are research centers with no members. The Directory does not give membership information, but this is readily available from the Encyclopedia.

In a very cursory review of the two reference works, I found the following organizations would meet the criteria set forth above for membership and list them to give some direction of the types that might find their way into the Council.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASC</th>
<th>ACJS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCCD</td>
<td>IACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AACP</td>
<td>IAWP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACJA - LAE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NACDL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAFS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAPLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLADA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BRP: ryc
Southern Illinois
University at Carbondale
Carbondale, Illinois 62901

Center for the Study of Crime, Delinquency, and Corrections
618-453-5701

February 18, 1981

Dr. Joseph Scott
American Society of Criminology
1314 Kinnear Road
Columbus, OH 43212

Dear Joe:

Enclosed is the formal statement petitioning the Board of Directors for establishment of the Division on International Criminology. Attached are the signed statements I have received as of my drafting of this letter. Since the exact size of the active ACS membership is not known to me, I am not certain that the number of petitioners constitutes three percent of the membership. I will forward the additional signed statements as they reach me before the March 4 meeting of the Board. By sending those statements I do have, my intention is to give your staff sufficient time to assure that the statements qualify.

I am operating under some handicap because on February 1 I was subjected to emergency surgery on both eyes for detached retina. Without the operation—to my complete surprise—I would have been permanently blind in my right eye in a few days. Now I see double and otherwise will be severely handicapped for several weeks until my right eye overcomes swelling and other traumatic effects.

My hope is that the three percent is met before March 4. If not, I trust that additional responses will meet the requirement. Therefore, I ask that your office retain this correspondence until the complete number of statements are made available to me and, then, to your office.

Sincerely yours,

Elmer

Elmer H. Johnson, Ph.D.
Professor of Sociology
and Criminal Justice

EHJ/sm

Enclosures

Received at ASC Office 2/25/81 - cc: ASC Executive Board
PETITION FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF DIVISION ON
INTERNATIONAL CRIMINOLOGY

TO: Executive Board
American Society of Criminology
1314 Kinnear Road
Columbus, Ohio 43212

Committee on International Criminology

1. The proposed name for a new division within the American Society of Criminology is "Division on International Criminology: A Division of the American Society of Criminology."

2. For the following reasons, this proposal constitutes "an active and functionally unitary interest of a group of members":

(a) The establishment by the American Society of Criminology of the Committee on International Criminology attests to the long-term recognition of the Society of its active interest and of profound appreciation of the importance of our colleagueship and common purposes with criminologists in other parts of the world.

(b) There are a significant number of ASC members who have demonstrated over the years their active interest in this facet of criminological activities through their participation in the affairs of the Committee on International Criminology.

(c) The programs of ASC Annual Meetings perennially have given remarkable provision for papers and other forms of presentation in international criminology, as well as including criminologists from outside the United States in its membership and program participants. ASC Annual Meetings have been held outside of the United States to indicate a broad definition of the term American Society of Criminology.

(d) Regardless of dissimilarities among the versions of criminology found in different nations, criminologists share theoretical and practical interests. Presumably, the criminologists of one nation can gain reliable and profound understanding of the issues that concern them by exchanging experiences and findings with criminologists of other nations. The accumulation of reliable knowledge can be accelerated through fuller communication and coordinated research at the transnational level. By testing their own premises and theories in other sociocultural environments, criminologists can free themselves of the parochial thinking that mistakes notions of cultural superiority for scientific truths.

(e) The advantages suggested above for the American Society of Criminology and its membership can be gained more effectively with the establishment of an especially organized platform for expressing and cultivating the growing recognition of the importance of comparative criminology. Thereby, the ASC will provide another important element in qualitatively improving the viability of transnational collaboration and the reliability of comparative studies.
3. As the elected Chair of the Committee on International Criminology, I speak for the petitioners and am authorized to respond in subsequent communications for my term of office ending with the Annual meeting. Thereafter, the newly elected Chair will assume this function.

4. Attached please find the statements and addresses of individuals who join in this petition as ASC members in good standing. They represent more than three percent of the ASC members in good standing.

5. After approval of this Division by the ASC Executive Board, the Division shall draw up rules of procedure within the framework of the Society's By-Laws, shall establish the nature of its officers and their method of election, and otherwise specify its governance. The relevant documents will be filed with the Executive Board. Further, the requirements of Sections 7 and 8 of the "Resolution for the Establishment of Divisions in the American Society of Criminology" will be observed.

Attachment
PETITIONERS FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF DIVISION IN ASC ON
INTERNATIONAL CRIMINOLOGY

1. Dr. Freda Adler
   School of Criminal Justice
   Rutgers University
   15 Washington Street, Rm 1106
   Newark, NJ 07102

2. Dr. John C. Ball
   Psychiatry
   Temple Univ. Sch. of Medicine
   3401 N. Broad Street
   Philadelphia, PA 19140

3. Dr. Donald M. Barry
   School of Criminal Justice
   Rutgers University
   15 Washington Street
   Newark, NJ 07102

4. Dr. Israel L. Barak
   Department of Sociology
   Wayne State University
   Detroit, MI 48202

5. Dr. Alexander Bassin
   School of Criminology
   Florida State University
   Tallahassee, FL 32306

6. Dr. James A. Black
   Department of Sociology
   University of Tennessee
   Knoxville, TN 37916

7. Dr. Karen L. Bloquinst
   University of Lowell
   12 Bradbury Street
   Allston, MA 02134

8. Dr. Ronald L. Boostrom
   Criminal Justice Program
   San Diego State University
   San Diego, CA 92182

9. Dr. Stanley L. Brodsky
   Psychology Department
   University of Alabama
   P.O. Box 2968
   University, AL 35486

10. Dr. Duncan Chappell
    Department of Criminology
    Simon Fraser University
    Burnaby, B.C. V5A 1S6
    CANADA

11. I. J. Croft
    Home Office Research Unit
    Queen Anne's Gate
    London SW1H 9AT, UNITED KINGDOM

12. Dr. Eugene H. Czajkoski
    School of Criminology
    Florida State University
    Tallahassee, FL 32306

13. Dr. Pedro R. David
    Sociology Department
    University of New Mexico
    Albuquerque, NM 87106

14. Dr. Theodore N. Ferdinand
    College of Criminal Justice
    Northeastern University
    Boston, MA 02115

15. Dr. Michael Fooner
    Mercy College
    131 Riverside Drive
    New York, New York 10024

16. Dr. Dorothy L. Gates
    San Bernardino Valley College
    701 S. Mt. Vernon
    San Bernardino, CA 92403
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17. Dr. Gilbert Geis  
Program in Social Ecology 
University of California 
Irvine, CA 92717

18. Dr. G.Thomas Gitchoff  
Criminal Justice Program 
San Diego State University 
San Diego, CA 92182

19. Dr. Jim Hackler  
Département de Sociologie 
University of Alberta 
Edmonton, Alberta 
CANADA T6G 2H4

20. Dr. Alan J. Harland  
Criminal Justice Research Center 
1 Alton Road 
Albany, NY 12203

21. Dr. Clayton A. Hartjen  
Department of Sociology 
Rutgers University 
Newark, NJ 07102

22. Dr. Leonard J. Hippchen  
Virginia Commonwealth University 
816 West Franklin Street 
Richmond, VA 23284

23. Dr. G. Ronald Huff  
Program for the Study of Crime and Delinquency 
Ohio State University 
1775 College Road 
Columbus, OH 43210

24. Dr. Joan E. Jacoby  
Jefferson Institute for Justice Studies 
1990 M St., NW 
Washington, D.C. 20036

25. Dr. Elmer H. Johnson  
Center for the Study of Crime, Delinquency, and Corrections 
Southern Illinois University 
Carbondale, IL 62901

26. Dr. Richard D. Knudten  
Department of Sociology, Anthropology and Social Work 
Marquette University 
Milwaukee, WI 53211

27. Dr. Robert A. Lorinskyas  
Center for the Study of Crime, Delinquency, and Corrections 
Southern Illinois University 
Carbondale, IL 62901

28. Dr. Ariela Lowenstein  
School of Social Work 
Haifa University 
48 Hague 
Haifa, ISRAEL 34980

29. Dr. Donale E.J. MacNamara  
John Jay College of Criminal Justice 
444 W. 56th Street 
New York, NY 10019

30. Dr. Richard A. Myren  
American University School of Justice 
Washington, D.C. 22091

31. Dr. J. S. E. Opolot  
Justice Center 
University of Alaska 
3211 Providence Drive 
Anchorage, AK 99504

32. Dr. Thomas R. Phelps  
Department of Criminal Justice 
California State University 
6000 Jay Street 
Sacramento, CA 95819

33. Dr. Marc Riedel  
Center for the Study of Crime, Delinquency, and Corrections 
Southern Illinois University 
Carbondale, IL 62901

34. Dr. Cyril D. Robinson  
Crime Study Center 
Southern Illinois University 
Carbondale, IL 62901

35. Dr. Harjit S. Sandhu  
Sociology and Corrections 
Oklahoma State University 
Stillwater, OK 74078

36. Dr. Joseph E. Scott  
Department of Sociology 
The Ohio State University 
Columbus, OH 43210

37. Dr. Sanford Sherizen  
Department of Sociology 
Boston University 
Boston, MA 02215

38. Ms. Manju Sheth  
Sociology Department 
Glassboro State College 
Glassboro, NJ 08028
39. Dr. Richard J. Terrill  
Center for Studies in Criminal Justice  
St. Cloud State University  
St. Cloud, MN 56301

40. Angelos Constantin Thermos  
Attorney at Law, Bar of Athens, Greece  
3058 Sunset Drive  
Columbus, OH 43202

59 Thiron Street  
Elefsis, Attica, GREECE

41. Dr. Gordon B. Trasler  
Psychology Department  
University of Southampton  
Highfield  
Southampton, S09 5NH, ENGLAND

42. Dr. Donald J. Weisenhorn  
Criminal Justice Center  
Sam Houston State University  
Huntsville, TX 77341

43. Marshall H. Whithead  
Administrative Office of Courts  
State House Annex, CN037  
Trenton, NJ 08625

44. Dr. Nanci Koser Wilson  
Center for the Study of Crime, Delinquency, and Corrections  
Southern Illinois University  
Carbondale, IL 62901

45. Dr. Sherwood E. Zimmerman  
Crime Study Center  
Southern Illinois University  
Carbondale, IL 62901

46. Dr. Daniel E. Georges-Abeyie  
Center for the Study of Crime, Delinquency, & Corrections  
Southern Illinois University  
Carbondale, IL 62901

47. Walter T. Haesler, Ph.D.  
President, Swiss Working Group of Criminology  
Witikon erstrasse 180  
CH-8053 Zuerich, SWITZERLAND

48. Louise Shelley  
School of Justice  
American University  
3409 Patterson St., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20015

49. Richard J. Lundman  
Sociology Department  
Ohio State University  
190 North Oval Mall  
Columbus, OH 43210

50. Dennis R. Longmire  
Sociology Department  
300 Administration Building  
The Ohio State University  
190 North Oval Mall  
Columbus, OH 43210
March 5, 1981

TO: ASC Executive Board
FROM: Peggy Giordano
SUBJECT: ASC Fellows

All of the members of the Fellows Committee submitted nominations, but there was not a great deal of consensus. Only Travis Hirschi (3), Jack Gibbs (2), and Don Gibbons (2) received multiple nominations.

Others nominated include Clarence Schrag, Mac Klein, LaMar Empey, Harry Allen, Joe Scott, Lloyd Ohlin, Terence Thornberry, Abraham Blumberg, Edwin Schur, John P. Conrad, Norval Morris, William Nagel, Milton Rector and John Wallace. The last three individuals were nominated by Marilyn Slivka, who indicated that she had been asked (by Frank Scarpitti) to provide "practitioner" input. She commented that "Bill Nagel has spent the latter portion of his life working for prison reform and against new prison construction. Milt Rector has enjoyed tremendous influence upon "real world" matters as President of NCCD. Finally, John Wallace has made substantial contributions in the areas of probation and community corrections, most recently in his current U.N. assignment in New Guinea."

Jackson Toby also suggested that no more than three or even two fellows be nominated this year, and felt that "if we continue to nominate five persons per year, it will not be long before being named a fellow will not be a great honor."

PCG:bja
TO: Executive Board and Members

FROM: Membership Committee

DATE: February 28, 1981

Introduction

The Membership Committee hereby submits its report on activities and attainments during the past membership year (March 1-February 28) and calendar year.

Tasks

The major tasks of this Committee have been to identify potential members and to initiate their recruitment through either personal or mailed invitations. To address the mailed invitation process, over 7,000 names and addresses of potential members were secured from national and regional membership directories, lists of conference attendees, and related sources. Membership brochures were also distributed at many of these professional gatherings by committee members.

In addition, the Membership Committee was able to work closely with Sage Publications in mapping out a rather large, international membership drive. During the month of October, Sage Publications distributed over 30,000 ASC brochures to social scientist-types around the world.

The Committee has received substantial assistance from students at The Ohio State University and the University of Nebraska during the past year. The student's efforts greatly facilitated the large scale mailouts undertaken during the past months. During the past year, members in good standing were sent three billing statements and "inactives" were sent one statement, allowing them to join in the activities of the society. Efforts are already underway to repeat the process again this year.

Results

The results of both the membership (March 1-February 28) and calendar years are presented in Tables I-4, attached.
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A total of 344 new members were recruited during the 1980 calendar year (see Table 1). Of those 344, 220 were active and 124 were student members. This represents an 8.7% increase over the total number of members on the rolls as of January 1, 1980 (see Table 2).

A total of 401 new members were recruited during the 1980-81 membership year. Of these 401, 269 were actives and 132 were student members.

The structure of the membership is detailed in Tables 3 and 4. At the end of the 1980 calendar year, there were 1,702 members in good standing of the total 4,318 members on our rolls. This is 39.4% of all members on the rolls. At the date this report was finalized, there were 1836 members in good standing.

Future Activities

To further advance the standing of our Society, the Membership Committee, under the direction of Dr. Scarpetti, is in the process of adjusting its recruitment strategy. In the past, membership efforts have been individual oriented. Our new strategy is institution oriented. The Membership Committee recently obtained from the Joint Commission, a listing of all known academic programs offering degrees in criminology-criminal justice. Brochures will be sent to the Chairperson of each department on a regular basis along with a cover letter inviting them to join with us as individual members, to consider an institutional membership, and asking them to share our brochures with members of their faculty and interested students. Two such mailouts are planned this year. The first wave is currently underway. The second wave is planned for September. The second mailout will include materials encouraging participation in the Washington, D.C. meetings.

The Membership Committee feels that the individual oriented strategy rate of return has not justified the inordinate amount of time, effort, and expense that has been encumbered. It is anticipated that the present strategy will serve both to increase the number of new members and save a great amount of time and expense.

The Membership Committee continues to be concerned with the relatively large numbers of persons who do not renew their memberships. For those new members of the Board, an addendum is attached further outlining and clarifying this problem. It has been suggested by Dr. Alvin Cohn that in addition to renewal notices, former members could be contacted over the phone by the Membership Committee to personally encourage their renewal. Apparently this tactic has been found to be somewhat successful by other professional groups such as ours. We encourage the Executive Board to consider this and all possible solutions to this problem.
This has been an interesting year, and we look forward to the new one.

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE

Thomas Barker
Lee Bowker
Patricia Brantingham
Edward Latessa

Robert Regoli
Gennaro Vito
Sloan Letman
Chris Eskridge, Chair
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>1979</th>
<th></th>
<th>1980</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Student</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>228</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>New Members</td>
<td>Percent of 1980 Total</td>
<td>cf</td>
<td>Percent Gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970 and before</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>15.03</td>
<td>1,555</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>20.52</td>
<td>2,441</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>3,059</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>3,521</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>3,974</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>4,318</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,318</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Membership</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>cf</td>
<td>Percent of Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>1,165</td>
<td>1,165</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 year</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Members</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1,245</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1,287</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,307</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeritus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,311</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,312</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,702</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Student:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Membership</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>cf</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>1,692</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,702</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,702</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3**
STRUCTURE OF ASC MEMBERSHIP AS OF
January 1, 1981
### TABLE 4
STRUCTURE OF ASC MEMBERSHIP AS OF
February 28, 1981

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Membership</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>cf</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td>1,266</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 year</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,326</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Members</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1,348</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,392</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,412</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeritus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,416</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,417</td>
<td>.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,417</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>1,826</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,836</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>1,836</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Addendum

Why are people leaving ASC?

1. Some people join at the annual convention, since it's possible that their institutions will reimburse for the registration fee, and said members use that opportunity to pass membership costs on to their institutions, and do not renew when not participating.

2. Some members may feel that since they are participating in the convention, they ought to join, but do not renew when not participating.

3. Some persons join with the expectation that this will ensure them a place on the program, and fail to renew when this assumption is not fulfilled.

4. Members lose interest/employment in the criminal justice system, and feel little interest in renewing.

5. We lose track of some members, and without forwarding addresses, the Postal Service cannot forward our billing statements.

6. Some members have primary disciplines (Sociology, Psychology, etc.), and when in financial straits, opt to renew only their primary discipline memberships.

7. Sage Publications occasionally "loses" our instructions to add new members to their mailing lists, which cuts those persons off from our newsletter and journal. Irate, they decide that it was the Society's fault and drop out.

8. Newly joining members have unrealistic expectations of the Society and its services.

9. Some persons retire.

10. Some members are choosing to drop their ASC membership in favor of membership in ACJS.

Potential recruiting strategies:

1. More aggressive mailing to academic institutions offering degrees in Criminal Justice/Criminology.

2. Utilize Sage Publication resources more fully.
3. Obtain more diversified mailing lists.

4. Reduce membership fees.

5. Reduce membership fees for faculty members from institutional members.

6. Computerize membership records and recruitment lists to reduce the tremendous cost of membership drives, and general membership mailings for that matter. This will eliminate such present time consuming efforts as label preparation, envelope addressing and sorting, and checking for duplications in the lists. It is my understanding that ACJS has moved in this direction.

Current Data on Retention:

As of February 19, 1980 the American Society of Criminology had 1,960 active members. During the past membership year, 525 (27%) of this group did not renew their memberships.