President Harry E. Allen called the Board meeting to order at 8:00 a.m. Barbara Raffel Price corrected the minutes of November 10 regarding the Constitution and By-Laws Committee report stating the Committee recommended no change at this time. Site Selection Committee report was amended to motion carried. In the Board minutes of November 14, the Distinguished Book Award report was amended to meaning of the awards, not meaningless. A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Barbara R. Price to approve the November 10 and 14 Board minutes as amended. Motion carried unanimously.

TREASURER’S REPORT

Charles H. McCaghy distributed revised copies of the January cash summary and the cash summary for February and stated the Society was in good financial shape. He reported that he checked into the matter of Tony Platt's use of the lobby to display materials during the D.C. meeting and found that Platt and the hotel had been given information that could have been interpreted as approval for Platt's table exhibit and therefore no billing was made. Roland Chilton stated that in talking to the hotel staff he saw it as a free speech issue, thinking that Platt was setting up display literature and not material for sale. He suggested that for future meetings it should be made clear that it is all right to set up informational tables for other organizations, but that commercial exhibits will be billed. Ron Huff suggested that the Program Committee, through Harve Horowitz, indicate that anyone interested in exhibiting at the meeting should contact Horowitz and let him handle it. Austin Turk commented that there will not be much space available for informational materials at the Sheraton Centre and certainly none around the registration area or book exhibit. Israel Barak-Glantz stated that if there is an extra room available, possibly close to the exhibit, this space could be used for informational tables. Turk noted that the hotel would set up tables where we requested and within reason, but once we begin to request additional tables in the hallway, we could get a charge of $25-30 per table.

McCaghy also reported that he had checked into the maintenance contract with Digital Equipment Corporation and that we are locked into the contract until July. The Board instructed McCaghy not to renew the maintenance contract at its expiration.

Institutional Membership: McCaghy reported that one Institutional Membership application had been received from Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville, Alabama with Tom Barker designated as the member to receive materials and vote for the university. A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Phyllis Jo Baunach to approve the application of Jacksonville State University for Institutional Membership in the Society. Motion carried unanimously.
FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

Ronald Huff, chair of the Finance Committee, stated that since the Board voted at the
November 14 Board meeting to change the ASC membership year, beginning January 1983, to
January 1, 1983 to January 1, 1984, the Committee revised the 1982 budget to reflect a 10
month budget versus the 12 month budget that was previously approved. Copies of the 10
month budget were distributed. Huff noted that with few exceptions most categories were
consistent with the previous budget. He indicated that the royalties figure has been
reduced due to the agreement with Sage on the journal. The Committee recommended to the
Board that they retain John Resler to audit the Society books at a rate of $44.00 per
hour, but not to exceed $1500. Mr. Resler will go over all statements, the way the
Society books are kept and tax forms are prepared to assure that the Society is doing
things correctly. Barbara Price expressed concern over accruing large sums of money and
would like Resler to address this issue. McCaghys stated that he had checked on the
federal requirements and as far as he could see there was no danger in our accrual of
monies, but he would still like an expert's opinion. Huff noted that the $4400
advertising and marketing figure reflects the contract for Horowitz. Horowitz is
asking for an increase from 15% to 25% of the revenues generated at the annual exhibit.
The Committee will recommend to Horowitz a 20% figure with 80% to the Society. The next
Finance Committee will need to come to the November Board meeting with a budget for the 12
month period, January 1, 1983 to December 31, 1983.

A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Phyllis Jo Baunach to accept the
10-month 1982 budget subject to two contingencies -- the 1982 convention and advertising
and marketing. Motion carried unanimously.

Huff noted that Sarah's contract on this 10-month budget would expire in December and
inquired if the Board wanted to renew her contract for 9 months or 15 months. A 15 month
contract was agreed upon by the Board.

Harve Horowitz Contract: Huff stated that Horowitz reported he was receiving the lowest
rate of commission from ASC than from the other 15 organizations he handles and proposed a
new contract of 25% plus expenses or 35% and he would absorb all expenses. Huff noted
that Horowitz has taken the Society from a $1500 income figure to about $8000 at the
present time. The Committee is recommending a 80-20 split and will send him a new
contract on this basis upon the Board's and legal counsel's approval. The Committee
rejected his proposal of keeping 100% of the display copies and has suggested that it
remain at the present 50-50 agreement. Huff noted that the Committee was recommending a
one year contract at this time and would like to evaluate his services more systematically
and create an evaluation form to be distributed to the book exhibitors at the Toronto
meeting asking for their perception of his services and request names of other people that
provide a similar service. The Committee is also asking Sarah to provide them with an
assessment of Harve's performance. The Committee will collect the forms from the
publishers at the meeting and at the end of the year will have a more systematic
evaluation of Horowitz to provide to the Board. Roland Chilton suggested that the
Committee also solicit the assessment of the hotel, as some members of the Capital Hilton
staff were critical of Horowitz' service. Scarpitti suggested that last year's exhibitors
be asked to evaluate Horowitz' performance from the D.C. meeting rather than waiting until
the Toronto meeting.
A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Barbara R. Price that a one year contract be extended to Harve Horowitz with an exopacto bid proposal evaluation of prior performance available at the November meeting. Motion carried unanimously. By next November someone new would be needed to be lined up for the Denver meeting if Horowitz is not renewed.

SOCIETY & ASSOCIATION SERVICES CORPORATION

Phyllis Jo Baunach reported that the Finance Committee was interested in finding out if there were organizations that make hotel and site arrangements for organizations such as ASC and what costs would be involved. Harve Horowitz had recommended to Harry Allen the Society & Association Services Corporation that provide specialized services to small organizations. Ms. Baunach contacted the corporation and received information that they would be willing to handle site selection and hotel arrangements at a cost not to exceed $200.00. Administrative costs would be somewhat less than $2,000 to assist in all details of the Annual Meeting, and they could administrate all affairs of the Society for approximately $5,700 per annum (2,500 members). The Board felt that the Society would lose autonomy, especially in the handling of the Annual Meeting. Turk noted that it was a good idea but he could not see how an outside group would know what the Society needed in its Annual Meetings and recommended that the Society continue handling its own arrangements with hotels to customize it to its needs. Huff noted that the Society may want to consider them for possibly handling the Annual Meeting exhibits. Turk noted that the hotel would set up the exhibit tables per our layout plans. Barak recommended that someone on the Executive Committee should review every hotel contract independent of the Site Selection Committee. He also suggested a mechanism to do a review five years in advance (have the present program chair and committee review each subsequent year's hotel contract) determining the needs of the Society and maybe some of the problems we have experienced in the past could be eliminated.

Phyllis Jo was asked to contact SASC to see what type of services they could provide for our Annual Meeting book exhibits. A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Chris Eskridge to abandon the effort of soliciting SASC's help in hotel and site selection and continue with the Society's present arrangements. Motion carried unanimously. Ron Huff stated that the Finance Committee would look into other avenues of a book exhibits representative -- openly soliciting the evaluations of publisher's representatives and obtaining recommendations from publishers.

ARCHIVES REPORT

Ron Huff reported that he, Dennis Longmire and Joseph E. Scott had talked with the library at OSU regarding the ASC archives which seemed interested in the archives, but that they were experiencing some financial difficulties at the moment. Longmire has identified an outside funding source, the National Historical Records Society, for setting up archives. Longmire is meeting with the regional representative in Columbus to pursue the matter and wanted clarification from the Society as to exactly what types of material should be located in the archives. Items suggested were: complete set of CRIMINOLOGY journals, ASC Annual Meeting programs, oral history and photographs of the Society, presidential addresses, award winner addresses, student paper competition papers, minutes etc. Huff noted that a feasibility grant would be one way to fund the archives, and some of the work could be done free--approximately 40 hours of a work study student to check materials.
A motion was made by Ron Huff and seconded by Barbara R. Price that the Contracts and Grants Committee be asked to prepare a grant proposal for the National Historical Records Society suggesting a feasibility grant to establish the ASC archives at Ohio State University with the Ad Hoc Archives Committee providing assistance. Motion carried unanimously.

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE REPORT

Eskride reported that as of February 28, the ASC membership totalled 1890 members. A total of 488 new members were recruited during the 1981 calendar year; 271 actives and 217 students. A total of 437 new members were recruited during the 1981-82 membership year; 232 actives and 205 students. Eskridge noted that the Committee will continue the present Institutional orientation and has recently begun what it hopes to be a yearly survey of former members. The Committee plans to continue collecting limitted numbers of names and addresses of potential members from various membership directories and conference attendee lists and will focus specifically upon Canadian sources due to our upcoming meeting in Toronto. Eskridge stated that Sage has in the past distributed approximately 40,000 brochures of the Society and some trade-offs are planned by the Committee to see if Sage will continue this practice. Eskridge reported that the recent former member survey was sent to approximately 532 persons and to date he had received approximately 12% in replies--35% not renewing due to economics; 15% stated they had simply forgot to renew. Al Reiss suggested that a Watts line, if available, might be used to contact former members to seek their renewal. It was also suggested that the newsletter could insert a form for members to fill out when changes of address are needed. Chris Eskridge reported that the Society had received a request from the Southern Sociologist suggesting an exchange of advertising in the respective newsletters and Eskridge requested approval to place membership information in their newsletter. A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Phyllis Jo Baunach that the exchange of advertising be granted between the two newsletters. Motion carried unanimously.

Chris Eskridge also reported that he and Dennis Longmire had discussed having ASC representatives in every state to distribute ASC flyers and could assist in a Watts line campaign. This could also be tied in with regional chapters. A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Barbara R. Price to accept the excellent report prepared by the Membership Committee. Motion carried unanimously.

Israel Barak-Glantz suggested that in the next mass mailing to the membership that several flyers be included with a letter asking the members' assistance in the membership drive.

PUBLICATIONS COMMITTEE REPORT

Barbara Price reported that the Publications Committee had met in Washington, D.C. and made plans to search for a new editor of the newsletter. An announcement was sent to Alvin Cohn to publish in the January issue. From that announcement, applications were received from Alvin Cohn and a letter from Pennsylvania State University indicating that two members of their school had an interest in editing the newsletter and that they had administrative support. Price stated that the committee unanimously agreed that the time period be extended and another announcement appear in the next issue of the newsletter, with a different heading, and that the committee should initiate its own search and that they hoped to have some response for the Board at the November meeting. She moved and seconded that the committee be empowered to extend the deadline to increase its search. Motion carried unanimously. An announcement will also be placed in the Toronto mailing to the membership seeking bids for the editorship.
FELLOWS COMMITTEE REPORT
Charles McCaghy reported on behalf of Peggy Giordano, chair of the Fellows Committee. Giordano stated the report was incomplete and she was only able to speak to two members of her committee. Two or more votes were received for Lloyd Ohlin and James Short. Other names suggested were: John Conrad, Lamar Empey, Jim Hackler, Malcolm Klein, Joan McCord, Charles Wellford, and Alfred Schurr. Scarpitti noted that last year the Board discussed limiting the awards to making them more meaningful, and three Fellow awards were made last year, and stated he would not like to see more than two or three awarded this year.

A motion was made by Chris Eskridge and seconded by Phyllis Jo Baunach to make Lloyd Ohlin and James Short Fellows of the Society. Price stated she would like to see some names of women included on the list and was not happy with the report. Hirschi stated he did not like to see someone who has received a higher award to receive a Fellow award. Eskridge withdrew his previous motion. A motion was made by Travis Hirschi and seconded by Marguerite Warren to award Lloyd Ohlin a Fellow award of the Society. Motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by Roland Chilton and seconded by Charles McCaghy for the president and president-elect to work with next year's Fellows Committee to establish guidelines and rationale for Fellow nominations. Favor: 7, Opposed: 7. Motion defeated.

A motion was made by Albert Reiss, seconded by Barbara R. Price for the president to discharge the current Fellows Committee and appoint an ad hoc committee (which would include a sprinkling of former Fellow award winners) to come in with recommendations for vote by mail.

Mr. Chilton noted that if the Committee had not had time to do their job, as indicated by President Allen, that the Committee be instructed to meet again and ask for guidance from the Board on selection criteria. Mr. Scarpitti noted that the committee had done their work by suggesting two outstanding people who are worthy of the award, but that for reasons germane now, the Board has decided not to accept the recommendations -- that is, does not feel it is appropriate to give Fellow award to a winner of the Edwin Sutherland Award. Al Reiss accepted this as a friendly amendment to go back to the committee. Turk suggested that the next time around through the committee or an ad hoc committee some definite criteria should be established on what is a Fellow -- how old do you have to be? what does it take to become a Fellow? The language is too vague and guidelines should be formulated. Pepinsky stated the committee could be charged to come up with a recommended set of guidelines and not a set of names until we know the criteria they are using.

Ms. Slivka inquired if it was a serious problem in only awarding one Fellow plaque. Turk moved that the Committee be asked to provide guidelines for criteria in awarding Fellow status and issuing names for the Board's consideration. The motion was seconded and defeated.

Motion to constitute the Board as a committee to develop a list of Fellows for immediate consideration was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Austin Turk. Favor: 12; Opposed: 1. Motion carried.

Albert Reiss nominated Sue Titus Reid; Barbara Raffel Price nominated Dorie Klein; nominations were also received for Lamar Empey, Joan McCord and Rose Giallombardo. Motion made by Barbara R. Price and seconded by Harold E. Pepinsky to close the nominations for Fellows. Motion carried unanimously.

Following balloting by the Board, the following persons will receive Fellow Awards for 1982: Lloyd Ohlin, Lamar Empey, Joan McCord, and Sue Titus Reid.
Barbara R. Price reported to the Board that a ceremony was held at John Jay College to present the posthumous Fellow Award to the family of Arthur Niederhoffer.

SITE SELECTION COMMITTEE REPORT

Edward Latessa, chair of the Site Selection Committee, reported that his committee has solicited the membership, through the newsletter, for sites for the 1987 Annual Meeting. Minneapolis, Chicago and Miami have been received to date, but Latessa reiterated that the Society may not go to a state that has not ratified the ERA. Latessa reported that Charles Wellford has done some site selection for the Committee in Baltimore and the Committee has received a proposal from the Baltimore Hilton, but no firm contract has been signed yet. The proposal appears to be well within the Society's needs at this point, but the Committee is not making a recommendation at this time. The Capital Hilton Hotel in D.C. has also expressed a great desire to have the meeting in 1986 and if the site is going to be changed, now is the time to do so. Roland Chilton noted the Society might want to consider returning to Washington more often due to the attendance we received in 1981. Scarpitti spoke on behalf of Baltimore stating costs would be cheaper than D.C. regarding room rates, etc. Following a brief discussion, the Board agreed to remain in Baltimore for the 1986 meeting.

1982 ANNUAL MEETING PROGRAM REPORT

Israel Barak-Glantz reported that over 100 sessions and panels had been proposed and hundreds of papers had been submitted and that the committee was being flooded with abstracts. The deadline was extended to March 31. There were to be 11 time slots for the entire meeting which was to run three full days, until 7:00 p.m. in the evening. There was a potential for 858 program participants, including plenary sessions, panels, roundtables, if the proposals continue to come in. Three plenary sessions were scheduled on special topics and three members of the association had been asked to head them up and organize. These were: Albert Reiss, Jr., "Law Enforcement"; Marvin Zallman, "Courts and Lawyers"; and Marvin Wolfgang, "Corrections." Two Canadians and two U.S. participants (academic and practitioner) were to be on each session. No decision had been made (at this time) regarding plenary sessions for award winners although time slots had been provided. In light of the D.C. attendance, plenary sessions (may not be scheduled) for the award winners were in doubt due to low attendance. All award winners might be scheduled into one plenary session where presentations could be made. If the Board is going to a banquet, the awards were to be granted at the banquet and presentations given at the plenary sessions.

Barbara Price informed the Board and 1982 Committee that John Jay College will be hosting a cocktail party for the entire membership. Mr. Barak-Glantz noted that Thursday evening, November 4, was open and the party could be scheduled at that time. The banquet, if approved, would be scheduled for Friday evening. Executive Board meetings were scheduled for November 3, 1:00-5:00 (Allen) and November 6, 7:00-11:00 (Hirschi). A Call for Papers has been distributed to entire ASC membership, ACJS membership, criminal justice schools, and Canadian organizations. Israel Barak-Glantz suggested that any mailings going out on the Toronto meeting after March 31 be listed as a call for participation rather than papers. Barak noted that the hotel site was extremely attractive and hopefully should turn out a successful meeting. The meeting would be spread out over one floor and a half with a block of seven rooms next to each other and another block of five rooms next to each other. Registration desk would be in the same area and three rooms have been allotted for the book exhibit; one room for the Personnel Employment Exchange and possibly another room for organizational material, if available.
Turk reported that he and Barak had met with the person in charge of catering and were quoted $12 Canadian per person for a reception and $18 Canadian for a banquet. They are tentatively suggesting roast turkey at this price. Turk reported that the Committee will endeavor to have Pierre Tredeau at the banquet, and if he is not available possibly someone from the Solicitor General's office. McCaghy reported that he had previously voted to do away with the banquet, but with the figures presented today, he would be willing to change his vote to a banquet. A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Charles H. McCaghy to rescind the previous Board vote to hold a banquet at the Toronto meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

Austin Turk noted that the hotel is reserving 200 sleeping rooms the first night followed by 450, 450, and 250 rooms in order of nights. There will be 10 rooms for Sunday evening. Single rooms will be $80 Canadian ($64 US) and Doubles $100 Canadian ($80 US). The matter of printing the final program in Canada has been looked into but the rates quoted are in the neighborhood of $3000, so the program will be printed in Columbus or elsewhere. Turk provided information on Canadian customs which will be followed up by the Executive Office.

Roland Chilton suggested that the contracts for future annual meetings that have already been written be circulated to members of the Executive Committee and chairs of the previous annual meetings to review.

Reiss stated that the idea of having the award winners saying a few things in a single session was a bad idea in his opinion. The Society has tried to move itself up to a scholarly association and somehow scholarship should be featured for the awards. Harold Pepinsky inquired if it would be feasible to have people putting the plenary sessions together to make sure one of the award winners would make a presentation. Barbara Price noted that award luncheons had been held in the past and wondered if a breakfast could be considered where lengthy, scholarly talks could be given. Reiss noted he would rather see the award sessions compete with the roundtables than abandon the idea; schedule it as a plenary session but in a small room holding approximately 60-80 participants at the time scheduled by the committee, 12:30-1:30 p.m.

Turk reported that the University of Toronto would host a private cocktail party for members of the Board, past presidents and program committee, either at the Center or hotel.

Harold E. Pepinsky made a motion to accept the report of the Program Committee leaving to the discretion of the committee putting the program together on the one plenary session for delivery of award papers.

Warren brought up the issue of child care at the meetings and pet accommodations. At the previous Board meeting it was decided that child care service would not be provided by the Society but that announcements could be placed in the registration material informing the participants of the hotel services for child care.

ELECTION REPORT
Roland Chilton reported that the 1982-83 election ballots were mailed the last week of February to 1,309 ASC members -- 1188 U.S., 57 Canadian, and 64 other Non-US members. The deadline for returning the ballots was to be May 30, 1982. President Allen was to appoint an elections balloting committee from members in the central Ohio region to count the ballots and verify the results.
1983 PROGRAM CHAIRPERSON

Travis Hirschi stated that after much consideration of several candidates and the importance of the task, he would like to submit the name of Joseph E. Scott to serve as Program Chair of the 1983 Annual Meeting in Denver. A motion was made by Charles H. McCaghy and seconded by Roland Chilton to accept Joseph E. Scott as the 1983 ASC Program Chair. Motion carried unanimously.

Hirschi stated that the 1983 Nominations Committee had not as yet been selected, but was submitting the following names for the Board's approval: John Hagan, Susan Datesman, Edwin Megargee, Gennaro Vito, and Ronald Akers. The committee will select their own chair. A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Barbara Raffel Price to approve the 1983 Nominations Committee. Motion carried unanimously.

Hirschi reported that recommendations for the 1983 Program Committee will be made at the November Board meeting.

AAAS REPORT

Roland Chilton reported that he had received a set of resolutions passed by the AAS council together with a request which stated "while only resolution 5 specifically requests our affiliates to take similar action, we should be pleased to learn that your organization is prepared to express its concern about all of these matters." He felt it was appropriate for the ASC Board to consider two of these resolutions which read as follows:

v. AAAS Endorsement of Immediate Ratification of the Genocide Treaty, proposed by the AAAS Committee on Scientific Freedom and Responsibility.

Whereas American scientists are becoming more attentive to the plights of scientists and others who are victims of human rights violations and are becoming more aware of the importance of international standards to address such violations, and

Whereas the AAAS Board of Directors voted on December 7, 1979, to support U.S. ratification of the United Nations Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (the Genocide Treaty), and

Whereas the continued lack of U.S. ratification of the Genocide Treaty contributes to the political isolation of the United States from the international community of nations which have supported the Treaty and detracts from our nation's formal commitment to the support of international standards for resolving human rights violations.

Therefore be it resolved that the AAAS Council affirms the 1979 action by the AAAS Board of Directors and urges immediate Senate ratification of the Genocide Treaty, and
Be it further resolved that the AAAS Council urges the President of the United States to support ratification of the Genocide Treaty, and

Be it further resolved that the AAAS Council encourages the societies and academies of science affiliated with AAAS to lend their support to U.S. ratification of the Genocide Treaty.

vi. National Security and Secrecy, proposed by the AAAS Committee on Scientific Freedom and Responsibility.

Whereas freedom and national security are best preserved by adherence to the principles of openness that are a fundamental tenet of both American society and of the scientific process,

Be it resolved that the American Association for the Advancement of Science opposes governmental restrictions on the dissemination, exchange, or availability of unclassified knowledge.

The Council voted unanimously to adopt the resolution without change.

A motion was made by Roland Chilton and seconded by Harold E. Pepinsky to concur with the resolution on National Security and Secrecy passed by the American Association for the Advancement of Science Council. Barbara R. Price suggested a friendly amendment to take out the "whereas" stating that the ASC opposes governmental restrictions on dissemination and exchange on availability of unclassified knowledge. Favor: 4; Opposed: 3; Abstained: 6.

A motion was then made to support the AAAS on the genocide issue: Favor: 4; Opposed: 2; Abstained: 6. Four other AAAS resolutions were not discussed.

AMICUS BRIEF

Albert Reiss reported that after consulting with a fair number of people he thought it seemed unwise for the Society to pursue the preparation of an amicus brief due to the cost and the case itself. President Allen reported that he had contacted the Executive Committee and received three responses concurring with Dr. Reiss' recommendation. Also in checking with the ASC corporate counsel, it was felt that the amount of money ASC had allocated for the brief would not be sufficient. A motion was made BY Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Barbara R. Price to take no further action on the Amicus Brief. Motion carried unanimously.

AWARDS COMMITTEE REPORT

Joseph E. Scott, Chair of the Awards Committee, reported that his committee, minus one member, held a telephone conference call to select the 1982 award winner nominees. Scott reported the committee took into consideration nominees from last year, this year, and the committee's own suggestions, and the committee was unanimous in their nominee choices:

Edwin Sutherland Award: Howard Becker, Northwestern University
August Vollmer Award: Don Gottfredson, Rutgers University
Sellin-Glueck Award: Derek McClintock, University of Edinburgh
Herbert Bloch Award: James Inciardi, University of Delaware
A motion was made by Barbara R. Price and seconded by Harold E. Pepinsky to accept the Awards Committee report. A motion to amend was made by Roland Chilton so that the motion would read to accept the nominees with the exception of the nominee for the Sutherland Award. Motion carried unanimously.

The following persons were then nominated for the Sutherland Award: Gilbert Geis, Howard Becker, Gwynn Nettler and Richard Quinney. Board members were asked to rate 1-2-3-4-5 for each nominee to ascertain the Edwin Sutherland winner. Following balloting by the Board, Gwynn Nettler received the most support to be awarded the Edwin Sutherland Award winner. (Nettler 53; Geis 44; Empey 36; Becker 31; Quinney 30.) A motion was made by Harold E. Pepinsky and seconded by Phyllis Jo Baunach to confer the Edwin Sutherland Award to Gwynn Nettler. Motion carried unanimously.

ASC LOCAL CHAPTERS

Marilyn Sivikva stated that at the Atlanta Business Meeting of the Society concern was expressed at finding ways to involve the membership and other vehicles for the membership and she had discussed the matter with Charles Wellford. She noted that what she was thinking of was not patterned after the ASPA local chapter concept or other chapters. Sivikva noted she saw herself as a person in two worlds—academic and practitioner—and felt that a real service was needed for helping to give a forum for the academic and practitioner to exchange ideas such as access to data in the local criminal justice system, ability to develop meaningful student internships in local criminal justice organizations, and in thinking about this a little further she talked with people in the Philadelphia area—Marvin Wolfgang and John Goldkamp—and both expressed a real interest in the idea. She stated that she did not see the chapters competing with the national organization for its membership, resources or strength. She did not see the local chapter as something that is automatically established around the country but saw it as an option available through constitutional change so that local areas could start something up. She wanted to experiment in Philadelphia to see what some of the problems and snags would be (similar to student chapter in Florida) before it became highly publicized. She wanted low key involvement at this point but Board approval to see what kind of interest there was at the local level in Philadelphia. Ms. Sivikva stated she saw the purpose of the local chapters as fostering communication and exchange of resources between the academic community and local criminal justice people of ASC, student placement, access to data, drawing members back into the Society who presently do not see the national organization as something meaningful enough to warrant paying their membership dues. A motion was made by Roland Chilton that the Board authorize the creation on an experimental basis of a local chapter in Philadelphia with a request that the experiences of the chapter be reported at next Spring’s Board meeting. The motion was amended to explore the possibility of creating a local chapter by contacting the people who have spoken about relationship with larger organization and coming back with a report as Philadelphia as a testing site. Baunach inquired as to what the guidelines were for creating a chapter; what are the specs? Report back to the Board what is going on and then see if the Board wants to authorize a local chapter, much like the divisions of ASC. Sivikva asked for the Board’s authorization to get the people together in Philadelphia to develop the direction of a local chapter. Price suggested that they meet for a period of time on an interim basis and look toward the future to a more formal entity. A substitute motion was offered by Frank Scarpitti and seconded by Harold E. Pepinsky for Marilyn Sivikva, under the sponsorship of ASC, to convene as a group to formally explore the idea of a local chapter, using ASC’s name in calling together a group of potential members to explore the possibility of establishing a local chapter of ASC and to report back to the Board the result of her findings. Albert Reiss suggested an amendment to the motion to encourage
the formation of such a group which has an intent at some point to petition for status as a chapter. The final motion read: The Board authorizes Marilyn Slivka to convene a group of people to explore the possibility of creating a local chapter of ASC in Philadelphia and to report back to the Board at its Spring 1983 meeting with an interim report at the November 1982 meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

INTERDISCIPLINARY CRIMINOLOGY PROGRAMS

In his report to the Executive Board, Harold E. Pepinsky stated the Executive Committee should offer a resolution defending the interdisciplinarity cause. Each president of ASC would provide the resolution to any program or college or university upon request, together with an invitation to have any inquiry concerning the viability of interdisciplinary programs brought to the Executive Committee at its next meeting. The Committee could be entrusted to handle such inquiries on general principle, without regard to the merits of any single program as among interdisciplinary programs. Pepinsky proposed to prepare a draft resolution for the November Board meeting which will be circulated to the Board for comments.

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON CRIMINOLOGY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE EDUCATION

A motion was made by Charles H. McCaghy and seconded by Austin Turk to move acceptance of the Ad Hoc Committee's report. Phyllis Jo Baunanch noted that ACJS has already done work in developing master syllabi in various areas that are taught in most programs and saw this as a duplication effort on ASC's part. Al Reiss stated he was very receptive to the second area of the committee's report—developing special institutes, workshops, or seminars at the Annual Meeting. This would be a continuing education opportunity to ASC members and would be a source of income to the Society. Mr. Reiss suggested that one or two day workshops could be conducted on such topics as "Uniform Crime Reporting;" "Statistics;" "Measuring Crime Careers," etc. Get someone to conduct the workshop, at no charge, and run in conjunction with the Annual Meeting at fee of perhaps $25-50 for each workshop. Al Reiss also suggested that an ad hoc committee be appointed to look into the matter. This is a good device for creating new memberships. Albert Reiss then moved that the the current president and incoming president appoint a committee and give the committee a mandate to develop special institutes, workshops, or seminars at the Annual Meeting. The motion was amended to have the committee consist of Chris Eskridge, Alvin Cohn and Travis Hirschi, Chair. It was seconded by Barbara R. Price. Motion carried unanimously. It was suggested that fees charged for the workshops could be set at two rates -- lesser amount for ASC members compared to nonmembers. Scarpetti stated that the persons conducting the workshops must be accountable and therefore a honorarium should be paid, but the committee would decide what criteria is to be developed.

ASC RESOURCE PERSONNEL

A motion was made by Travis Hirschi to move acceptance of the report prepared by Alvin Cohn; seconded by Charles McCaghy. The motion was amended so that requests would be forwarded to the president of the Society to respond on behalf of the Society. Motion carried unanimously. ASC members are free to respond to requests for resource people informally.
SAGE RESEARCH PROGRESS SERIES REPORT

In the report prepared by Michael Gottfredson for distribution to the Board, he announced the editors of the 1981 meeting volumes and the content of their books are:
Merry Morash, Michigan State University - The Implementation of Criminal Justice Policy
John Hagan, University of Wisconsin - Methodological Developments in Criminological Research, Vols. I and II
Harold E. Pepinsky, Indiana University - Breaking the Criminological Mold
Victoria Swigert, Holy Cross College - Law and the Legal Process

Thirty-one papers have been accepted for publication, and the volumes were to be ready at the time of the November Annual Meeting. Gottfredson announced that the 1980 Proceedings were completed in time for display at the 1981 meeting. Five books were published:

Gary Jensen - Sociology of Delinquency: Current Issues
Marguerite Q. Warren - Comparing Female and Male Offenders
Ronald Roehs and Raymond Corrado - Evaluation and Criminal Justice Policy
Simon Hakim and George Rengert - Crime Spillover
James Fyfe - Contemporary Issues in Law Enforcement

Gottfredson noted that in selecting volume editors for the past two years he attempted to be guided by two criteria. The first relates to his aim to develop books with a strong, consistent theme, a theme that is apparent in the papers given at the meetings. He tries to vary the content of the volumes as much as possible for as wide a coverage as possible. The second criteria is that volume editors should themselves be active scholars in the thematic area and be prepared to write a substantive introduction to the edited collection. Once selected, the volume editors have complete discretion regarding the choice of papers and are asked to be guided only by quality and by thematic appropriateness.

SHIPPENSBURG STATE COLLEGE PRESIDENT INAUGURATION

President Allen noted that the Society had received an invitation to send a representative to attend the inauguration of the new president of Shippensburg State College. A motion was made and seconded that the vice president, Barbara R. Price, attend the inauguration as the Society's representative on March 27. Motion carried unanimously.

ASC LEGAL COUNSEL

President Allen informed the Board that he has asked Norman Frank of Columbus, Ohio, to serve as corporate counsel of the Society for a retainer of $500.00.

CRIMINOLOGY SPECIAL ISSUE

President Allen noted that due to the Board's recent decision to change the membership year to January 1, 1983 through December 31, 1983, an adjustment will be required in the 1982 volumes of CRIMINOLOGY, possibly two volumes in the November issue. This problem will be resolved by the editor of the journal and Sage.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 3:30 p.m. The next meeting of the Executive Board will be in Toronto, Wednesday, November 3, 1:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Roland Chilton, Executive Secretary